

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,382	05/18/2005	Roberto Jourdan De Aquino	P/3255-87	6864
2,52	90 01/09/2007 ABER GERB & SOFF	EN	EXAMINER	
1180 AVENUE	OF THE AMERICAS		CHRISTENSEN, RYAN S	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/528,382	DE AQUINO, ROBERTO JOURDAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ryan Christensen	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 /	May 2005	·				
, ·	s action is non-final.					
, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. S. Franck and the months in					
• •	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>2-4 and 6-8</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/05 & 7/21/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 2856

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 3/17/05 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2856

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicants admitted prior art in view of U.S. Patent 6,240,160 (Daaland et al.) and Japanese Patent 09225023.

- 5. With respect to claims 1 and 5, the applicants admitted prior art discloses a pipe for transporting pressurized fluid, where the pipe contains at least an internal pressure sheath, plies of tensile armour wires and an outer sheath fastened to the end fitting (page 10). The admitted prior art does not disclose monitoring the integrity of the tensile armour plies by monitoring a change in the twist in the flexible pipe near the end fitting, where the twist is a phenomenon associated with the disorganization of the armour plies as a result of a fracture of several tensile armour wires inside the end fitting.
- 6. Daaland et al. disclose monitoring the displacement of armour plies near the end fitting of a pipe like that disclosed in the admitted prior art with an X-ray (Col. 1, lines 20-60). The X-ray operates to detected displacement by the changes in markers which are located in the plies (Col. 2, lines 20-45).
- 7. Japanese Patent 09225023 discloses the use of X-rays in order to monitor the twist of a tube.
- 8. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system disclosed by the admitted prior art by including an X-ray means to detect changes in the displacement of the plies, as disclosed by Daaland et al, because Daaland et al. disclose that these displacements causes leaks and to further monitor the markers for twisting in the

Art Unit: 2856

pipe, as disclosed by Japanese Patent 09225023, because twisting is also known to indicate failing or reduced pipe integrity.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 2-4, and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Christensen whose telephone number is 571-272-2683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday, 8am 5pm.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hezron Williams can be reached on 571-272-2208. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

Art Unit: 2856

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RC

HEZRON WILLIAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800